

Monthly Report on Consumer Complaint Activity



June 2007

Patricia L. Acampora, Chairwoman

*Sandra S. Sloane, Director
Office of Consumer Services*

July 11, 2007



Monthly Report on Consumer Complaint Activity

June 2007

Table of Contents

Director's Message.....	3
If You Have a Complaint About Your Utility Service.....	4
How Utility Complaints are Measured.....	5
Complaint Activity of Major New York Utilities.....	7
Customer Service Response Index.....	8
Credits Obtained for Consumers.....	11
Summary of Consumer Complaints recorded against ESCO's.....	12



July 11, 2007

Dear Readers:

The Office of Consumer Services closely monitors the number and types of complaints received against all utilities operating in New York State. We strive to ensure that utilities fulfill their obligation to provide effective customer service in compliance with the laws, rules, regulations and policies we enforce.

Each month, this report provides an overview of complaint activity and utility responsiveness during the preceding month which is informative to both consumers and utility companies. Specific details regarding the way we measure the companies' activities are described in the section How Utility Complaint Data Is Reported.

The table titled Complaint Activity of New York's Major Utilities reports on the volume of complaints received against the largest utilities in each industry while the table titled Customer Service Response Index reports on the level of customer service and responsiveness delivered by each service provider under the Commission's jurisdiction.

The chart, Credit Adjustments Received for Consumers, reflects the amount of refunds or credits customers received as a result of our investigations. The Office of Consumer Services also monitors complaints against the competitive energy service companies (ESCO) operating in New York as reported in the table Number of Escalated Complaints Received Against Energy Service Companies.

I hope this report is helpful in providing you with a summary of utility complaint activity. If you have any questions, please e-mail Barry_Bedrosian@dps.state.ny.us.

Sincerely,

Sandra S. Sloane
Director
Office of Consumer Services



If You Have a Complaint About Your Utility Service

If you're having difficulty resolving a dispute with your regulated energy, telephone, cable television or water company, the Public Service Commission staff is available to assist you.

The Office of Consumer Services takes all utility consumer complaints seriously. You can contact us toll-free by telephone, in writing or via the Internet. When you contact our office with a complaint about a utility or other service provider, we take immediate steps to address your concerns.

After we complete entering the details of your complaint we send your complaint to the utility by e-mail or fax. In an effort to ensure that utilities fulfill their obligation to provide effective customer service, we will first ask your utility to contact you and resolve your concern. If your complaint is related to the provision of service, your utility should contact you within two business hours. If your complaint is related to billing or another matter, the utility should contact you by the close of the following business day.

If the utility does not contact you with its initial acknowledgement, does not provide its response to you within two weeks or the matter remains unresolved after you have received a response, you can contact us. We will then further investigate the matter and notify you in writing or by telephone of the decision and the reasons for the decision.

If you believe the initial decision is wrong, you can request an informal hearing. This request may be in writing and made within 15 days of the initial decision. You may be asked to submit certain documents to support your position. If you and the utility are unable to settle the complaint, the hearing officer will make a decision on your complaint and notify you in writing of the decision.

If you believe that the informal hearing officer's decision was wrong, you can appeal it within 15 days of the decision to the Public Service Commission. Your appeal must be in writing and must contend that there was an error made by the hearing officer that affected the decision or that evidence not previously available would affect the decision. The Commission will make a decision on the appeal and notify you in writing of their decision.

If you have a complaint about your utility service you may contact us thru one of the following avenues:

By Telephone	Monday thru Friday 8:30am – 4:00pm	800-342-3377
Via the Internet	24 hours a day	www.dps.state.ny.us <i>Click the Consumer Assistance Link</i>
In writing	Please be sure to include as much detail as possible, including your account number, service address, telephone number and the specifics of your complaint.	NYS Public Service Commission Office of Consumer Services Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350



How Utility Complaint Data is Reported

The Office of Consumer Services reports complaint data by volume and by responsiveness. A complaint rate is used to compare small utilities to large utilities. A response index is used to measure how well utilities address consumer complaints we forwarded to them.

The Office of Consumer Services closely monitors the number and types of complaints received against all utilities operating in New York State. We expect utility companies to be highly responsive to their customers' needs, especially when the customer feels that it is necessary to seek the assistance of the Public Service Commission staff. Each month, our Consumer Services report provides an overview of complaint activity and utility responsiveness which we believe is informative to both consumers and utility companies.

The table titled **Complaint Activity of New York's Major Utilities** reports on the volume of complaints received against the largest utilities in each industry. These utility companies vary in size from just over 10,000 customers to several million customers. Therefore, in order to compare complaint volumes among companies, a complaint rate per 100,000 customers is displayed. This allows the reader to compare the complaints of a large company to that of a small company.

There are two measures of complaints which are reported each month. At first all complaints are recorded and forwarded to the utility for resolution directly with the customer. These are noted as complaints (QRS) in the table titled Complaint Activity of New York's Major Utilities. If the customer informs us that the utility failed to satisfy their complaint the matter is escalated for further handling and investigation by staff and is noted as escalated complaints (SRS). Both numbers are converted into a complaint rate which allows the reader to compare performance regardless of the size of a company's customer base. The escalation rate is a measure of how successful a utility is in satisfying their customer upon receipt of a complaint made through the Office of Consumer Services. The 12 month complaint rate is often used as one of several customer service measures that may be taken into consideration when staff monitors the quality of customer service delivered by an individual utility. This rate represents the average number complaints received per month per 100,000 customer accounts.

The table titled **Customer Service Response Index** reports on level of customer service and responsiveness delivered by each service provider under the Commission's jurisdiction. The Customer Service Response Index is determined by measuring four metrics. Complete CSRI data is posted on the first page of the report for those service providers that average ten or more complaints per month. For all other service providers, the performance in each area is reported on subsequent pages of the table, less the actual index measures because the index measures for companies with fewer than ten complaints have been found to show significant fluctuations on a month to month basis. These fluctuations may result in the reader reaching an inaccurate conclusion as to a service provider's performance. If a company is not listed in a particular monthly report it is because there was no activity for the company in the reporting month.

The **Index** is determined by measuring four metrics:

The Consumer Satisfaction Metric (CSM) is a ratio of the number of complaints to the number of escalated complaints in the reporting month. A score of 5 points are awarded when a service provider receives no complaints during the reporting month. There is no score awarded if a service provider satisfies less than 50% of the customers that the PSC refers to them.

The Complaint Response Time Metric (CRM) is the average number of days it took the service provider to respond to complaints closed in the reporting month. A score of 2 points is awarded when a provider's average response time for complaints is 14 days or less. No points are earned if the average response time for complaints is more than 28 days (twice the acceptable reply standard).

The Escalated Complaint Response Time Metric (ERM) is the average number of days it took the service provider to respond to escalated complaints closed in the reporting month. An score of 2 points is awarded when a service provider's average response time for escalated complaints is 10 days or less. No points are earned if the average response time for escalated complaints is more than 25 days (two weeks past due).

The Pending Case Metric (PCM) is the average age of all cases awaiting response, determined on the last day of the reporting month. A score of 1 point is awarded when a service providers' average age of all cases is 14 days or less. No points are earned if the average age of all cases exceeds 70 days (two months delinquent) and a negative score is applied and if the average age of all cases is over 70 days.

COMPLAINT ACTIVITY OF NEW YORK'S MAJOR UTILITIES

June 2007

Utility Companies	Complaints (QRS)		Escalated Complaints (SRS)		Escalation Rate	12 Month Escalated Complaint Rate
	No.	Rate*	No.	Rate*		
Central Hudson	16	5.4	2	0.7	13%	0.7
Con Edison	426	11.4	94	2.5	22%	2.3
KeySpan of Long Island	26	4.9	2	0.4	8%	0.5
NYSEG	86	9.0	8	0.8	9%	1.1
National Grid	233	13.7	21	1.2	9%	1.1
Orange & Rockland	24	10.9	1	0.5	4%	1.2
RG & E	109	27.5	5	1.3	5%	1.5
KeySpan of New York	119	10.1	13	1.1	11%	1.2
National Fuel Gas	41	8.0	5	1.0	12%	0.6
AT&T of New York	78		22		28%	
Citizens Telecommunications of NY	11	4.0	4	1.5	36%	0.8
Frontier Communications of NY	2	3.0	0	0.0	0%	0.9
Frontier Telephone of Rochester	5	1.2	0	0.0	0%	0.7
Optimum Voice	2		0		0%	
Time Warner Res-Com	1		0		0%	
Windstream Communications	0	0.0	0	0.0	#DIV/0!	1.0
Verizon	406	5.2	43	0.6	11%	0.5
Cablevision Systems	16		4		25%	
Time-Warner Cable	84		13		15%	
Aquarion Water Co. of New York	0	0.0	0	0.0	#DIV/0!	2.9
Long Island Water	8	11.0	2	2.7	25%	1.0
New York Water	2	4.5	1	2.3	50%	2.6
United Water - New Rochelle	1	3.2	0	0.0	0%	2.9
United Water - New York	4	5.7	1	1.4	25%	2.7

All complaint rates are based on Dec. 2005 customer populations. Updates occur in April.

* - Complaints per 100,000 customer accounts where populations are reported by the utility

N/A = data not available for other than incumbent providers.

This table reports on the volume of complaints received against the largest utilities in each industry.

Complaints (QRS) - This is the number (No.) of complaints we receive and forward to the utility company for resolution directly with the customer and the corresponding complaint rate (Rate) per 100,000 customer accounts.

Escalated Complaints (SRS) - This is the number (No.) of complaints that we escalated for further handling and investigation because the customer informed us that the utility failed to satisfy their complaint after we forwarded the complaint to the utility. The corresponding escalated complaint rate (Rate) per 100,000 customer accounts allows the reader to compare one utility to another regardless of the number of customer accounts.

Escalation Rate - This is a measure of how successful a utility is in satisfying their customer upon receipt of a complaint made through the Office of Consumer Services. The lower the rate the more successful the utility was in resolving complaints directly with the customer.

12 Month Escalated Complaint Rate - This rate represents the average number complaints received per month per 100,000 customer accounts. This is often used as one of several customer service measures that may be taken into consideration when staff monitors the quality of customer service delivered by an individual utility.

Customer Service Response Index June 2007

Service Provider	Complaints	Escalated Complaints	CSM Index	Complaint Response Time	CRM Index	E. Complaint Response Time	ERM Index	Avg. Age of Cases Pending	PCM Index	CSRI
Orange & Rockland	24	1	4.6	3.7	2.0	6.3	2.0	5.2	1.0	9.6
Broadview Networks	16	1	4.4	2.8	2.0	12.3	1.8	8.7	1.0	9.2
New York State Electric & Gas Corp	86	8	4.1	10.5	2.0	7.9	2.0	7.8	1.0	9.1
National Grid, Inc	233	21	4.1	10.2	2.0	11.2	1.9	10.3	1.0	9.0
Accent Energy Midwest, LLC	10	1	4.0	7.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	11.0	1.0	9.0
Verizon Communications (LEC)	406	43	3.9	9.8	2.0	11.5	1.9	11.5	1.0	8.8
National Fuel Gas Distribution	41	5	3.8	8.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	5.4	1.0	8.8
Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp	16	2	3.8	6.5	2.0	3.7	2.0	6.7	1.0	8.8
Zoom-I-Net Communications, Inc.	13	1	4.2	17.2	1.6	0.0	2.0	12.0	1.0	8.8
Hudson Energy Services, LLC	14	2	3.6	5.4	2.0	0.0	2.0	9.7	1.0	8.6
KeySpan of New York	119	13	3.9	7.6	2.0	5.8	2.0	35.4	0.5	8.4
IDT Energy, Inc.	18	3	3.3	9.5	2.0	0.0	2.0	3.3	1.0	8.3
Spark Energy, L.P.	12	2	3.3	17.1	1.6	0.0	2.0	11.9	1.0	7.9
MCI	26	6	2.7	12.4	2.0	7.6	2.0	4.8	1.0	7.7
Rochester Gas & Electric Corp.	109	5	4.5	5.6	2.0	67.3	0.0	24.2	0.8	7.3
AT&T (C)	78	22	2.2	8.3	2.0	6.9	2.0	5.7	1.0	7.2
KeySpan of Long Island	26	2	4.2	3.6	2.0	49.3	0.0	7.2	1.0	7.2
Citizens Communications (ILEC)	11	4	1.4	10.2	2.0	4.0	2.0	24.0	0.8	6.2
Time Warner - New York City Divisi	51	5	4.0	10.6	2.0	79.6	0.0	56.7	0.1	6.1
Time Warner - Albany Division	11	1	4.1	26.8	0.4	23.6	0.4	25.7	0.7	5.6
Con Edison of New York	426	94	2.8	12.0	2.0	33.8	0.0	25.7	0.7	5.5
IDT America, Corp.	15	9	0.0	5.0	2.0	5.9	2.0	37.0	0.5	4.5
Time Warner - Buffalo Division	12	5	0.8	18.4	1.5	28.8	0.0	49.4	0.3	2.6

This table reports on the current level of customer service and responsiveness delivered by each service provider under the Commission's jurisdiction. The Customer Service Response Index is determined by measuring four metrics. Complete CSRI data is posted on the first page of the report for those service providers that average ten or more complaints per month. For all other service providers, the performance in each area is reported on subsequent pages of the table, less the actual index measures because the index measures for companies with fewer than ten complaints have been found to show significant fluctuations on a month to month basis. These fluctuations may result in the reader reaching an inaccurate conclusion as to a service provider's performance. If a company is not listed on this report it is because there was no activity for the company in the reporting month. Below is an explanation of the data in each column.

Complaints - This is the number of complaints we receive and forward to the utility company for resolution directly with the customer.

Escalated Complaints - This is the number of complaints that we escalated for further handling and investigation because the customer informed us that the utility failed to satisfy their complaint after we forwarded the complaint to the utility.

CSM Index - The Consumer Satisfaction Index scores the ratio of the number of complaints to the number of escalated complaints in the reporting month. A score of 5 points are awarded when a service provider receives no escalated complaints during the reporting month. There is no score awarded if a service provider satisfies less than 50% of the customers that the PSC refers to them.

Complaint Response Time - This is the average number of days it took for a utility to respond to complaints in the reporting month.

CRM Index - The Complaint Response Time Index scores the service providers responsiveness to complaints closed in the reporting month. A score of 2 points is awarded when a provider's average response time for complaints is 14 days or less. No points are earned if the average response time for complaints is more than 28 days (twice the acceptable reply standard).

E. Complaint Response Time - This is the average number of days it took for a utility to respond to escalated complaints in the reporting month.

ERM Index - The Escalated Complaint Response Time Index scores the service providers responsiveness to escalated complaints closed in the reporting month. A score of 2 points is awarded when a provider's average response time for complaints is 10 days or less. No points are earned if the average response time for escalated complaints is more than 25 days (two weeks past due).

Avg. Age of Cases Pending - This is the average age of all the cases awaiting a response from the service provider.

PCM Index - The Pending Case Index scores the average age of all cases awaiting response by the service provider. A score of 1 point is awarded when a service providers' average age of all cases is 14 days or less. No points are earned if the average age of all cases exceeds 70 days (two months delinquent). A negative score is applied and if the average age of all cases is over 70 days.

CSRI - The Customer Service Response Index is the overall score received by the service provider. It is the sum of the four indices.

Customer Service Response Index June 2007

<i>Service Provider</i>	<i>Complaints</i>	<i>Escalated Complaints</i>	<i>CSM Index</i>	<i>Complaint Response Time</i>	<i>CRM Index</i>	<i>E. Complaint Response Time</i>	<i>ERM Index</i>	<i>Avg. Age of Cases Pending</i>	<i>PCM Index</i>	<i>CSRI</i>
ACN Communication Services, Inc.	1	0		21		0		55		
Affinity Network, Inc.	0	1		521		0		2		
Agway Energy Services, LLC.	1	0		3		0		0		
American Network, Inc.	0	0		0		0		87		
American Pay Phone, Inc.	0	0		0		0		187		
Aquarion Water Company of New York	1	0		0		0		27		
Arbor Hills Waterworks	0	0		4		0		0		
Armstrong Telephone Company - New York	0	0		10		0		0		
Berkshire Telephone Corp.	0	0		56		16		0		
BridgeCom International, Ltd.	0	1		132		0		4		
Broadwing Communications, LLC.	1	0		0		0		27		
Cablevision Lightpath, Inc.	1	0		8		0		0		
Cablevision of Brookhaven	1	1		77		0		0		
Cablevision of Dutchess County	0	0		0		0		48		
Cablevision of Hauppauge	1	1		26		0		17		
Cablevision of Long Island	3	0		8		37		2		
Cablevision of New York City	4	2		15		1		11		
Cablevision of Riverhead	1	0		7		0		0		
Cablevision of Westchester	4	0		11		7		4		
Cablevision of Yorktown	1	0		12		0		0		
Cambridge Water Works Company	1	0		0		0		12		
Cedar Meadow Water Corp.	1	1		6		0		6		
Charter Comm. - Plattsburgh	1	1		2		0		9		
Charter Communications	1	0		0		0		10		
Chautauqua & Erie Telephone Company	1	0		166		0		3		
Chautauqua Utilities, Inc.	0	0		0		0		115		
Choice One Communications of New York	2	0		3		0		5		
Citizens Telecommunications Co. of New York	0	0		4		0		0		
Citizens Telephone Company of Hamilton	0	1		10		0		0		
City of Jamestown Board of Public Works	1	0		0		0		0		
City of Salamanca Electric	0	1		12		0		0		
ClearTel Communications, Inc.	2	0		4		0		19		
Clinton Hills Owners Corp	0	1		44		0		13		
Columbia Utilities, LLC	3	1		8		0		0		
Comcast Cable of New York - CATV	2	0		5		0		46		
Con Edison Solutions	4	0		1		0		76		
CondorPhone	0	0		0		0		94		
Consumer Telcom, Inc.	1	0		7		0		26		
Conversent Communications of New York	0	0		0		0		6		
Cordia Communications Corp	4	0		29		0		18		
CornerStone Telephone Company,	1	0		4		0		0		
Covad Communications Company	1	0		12		0		0		
Covista Communications, Inc.	2	0		0		0		99		
CTC Communications Corp.	9	1		14		2		36		
Custom Teleconnect, Inc.	0	0		0		0		62		
Dara Owners Corp.	0	1		0		0		0		
Deposit Telephone	2	0		0		0		8		
Direct Energy Services LLC	2	1		4		0		0		
Domtel Communications, Inc.	0	0		0		0		62		
Econnergy	2	0		42		0		0		
Energetix, Inc.	2	0		2		0		4		
Excel Telecommunications	1	0		14		0		7		
Fairfield Towers Condominium Corp	0	0		0		372		392		
FFC Energy	0	0		0		0		143		
Floridan Estates, Inc.	0	0		0		0		31		
Frontier Communications of AuSable	1	0		0		0		2		
Frontier Communications of NY/fka	2	0		15		0		0		
Frontier Communications of Sylvan	1	0		0		0		3		
Frontier Telephone of Rochester, Inc.	5	0		11		11		14		
Global Crossing Telecommunications	0	0		0		0		89		
Granite Telecommunications, LLC	0	0		0		84		0		
Groman Shores, Llc	0	0		0		0		0		
ILD Telecommunications, Inc.	3	0		0		0		6		
Infinite Energy, Inc.	1	0		0		0		0		
InfoHighway Solutions	1	1		5		0		26		
InterGlobe Communications	0	0		20		0		0		
Island Marketing, Inc.	0	0		0		0		30		
J&N Communications	0	0		0		0		33		
J.D. Water Co. Inc.	0	0		0		0		55		
Keyspan Energy Services, Inc.	1	0		0		0		48		
Kiamesha Artesian Spring Water Company	1	1		4		0		46		

Customer Service Response Index June 2007

<i>Service Provider</i>	<i>Complaints</i>	<i>Escalated Complaints</i>	<i>CSM Index</i>	<i>Complaint Response Time</i>	<i>CRM Index</i>	<i>E. Complaint Response Time</i>	<i>ERM Index</i>	<i>Avg. Age of Cases Pending</i>	<i>PCM Index</i>	<i>CSRI</i>
LDC Telecommunications, Inc.	0	0		0		0		39		
Level 3 Communications, LLC	0	0		7		0		19		
Liberty Power Corp.	9	0		5		0		4		
Long Island Water	8	2		14		1		27		
Matrix Telecom, Inc.	1	0		12		0		0		
McGraw Communications, Inc.	0	0		0		0		109		
Metropolitan Telecommunications	1	0		17		36		27		
Mirabito Fuel Group, Inc.	0	0		0		0		75		
Mx Energy	1	0		3		0		56		
My Tel Co, Inc.	2	1		37		0		15		
N.E.A. Cross of N.Y. Inc.	0	0		0		0		51		
National Aqueous	0	0		7		0		72		
Network Billing Systems, LLC	0	0		0		0		52		
Network Service Billing, Inc.	0	0		0		0		89		
New Century Telecom, Inc.	3	0		4		0		50		
New Rochelle Telephone Company	0	0		27		0		0		
New York Water Service	2	1		6		0		83		
Next Gen Telephone Co.	2	2		1		0		77		
Northland Networks, Ltd.	1	0		3		0		0		
Northstar Telecom, Inc.	4	1		2		0		41		
NYSEG Solutions, Inc.	1	0		3		0		0		
One Touch Communications	0	0		0		0		40		
Optimum Voice	2	0		0		0		7		
PAETEC Communications, Inc.	2	1		120		0		32		
Phipps House Services, Inc	0	0		0		0		384		
Pine Brook Water Co Inc	1	0		1		0		0		
Plymouth Rock Energy LLC	1	0		0		0		0		
Primo Communications, Inc.	1	0		0		0		4		
Qtel, LLC	1	0		0		0		5		
Quasar Communications Corporati	1	0		0		0		5		
Qwest Communications Corporatio	0	1		26		9		0		
Rand Water CP - Dogwood Knolls	1	0		0		0		9		
RCN Telecom Services, Inc.	1	0		6		0		0		
Spectrotel, Inc.	0	1		53		0		99		
Sprint Communications	4	0		6		0		5		
St. Lawrence Gas	1	0		0		0		0		
Strategic Power Management	0	0		0		0		171		
Supra Telecommunications & Infor	0	0		0		0		216		
Taconic Telephone Corp.	3	0		47		0		0		
Talk America, Inc.	1	1		1		0		0		
TDS Telecom-Vernon Office	1	0		0		0		11		
Tech Valley Communications	0	0		0		0		0		
Tele Circuit Network	0	0		0		0		102		
Telecarrier Services, Inc.	1	0		0		0		3		
TeleUno, Inc.	1	0		0		0		72		
Time Warner - Binghamton	4	1		9		0		29		
Time Warner - Rochester Division	2	1		47		14		87		
Time Warner - Syracuse Division	4	0		10		7		2		
Time Warner ResCom of New York	1	0		8		0		0		
Time Warner Telecom	1	0		0		0		2		
Transbeam, Inc.	1	0		0		0		3		
Trinsic	7	2		8		21		5		
Tristate Bell Inc	0	0		0		0		62		
U.S. Energy Savings Corp	8	2		37		23		49		
United Systems Access Telecom	1	0		5		0		166		
United Water Owego & Nichols	0	0		0		0		0		
United Water Owego & Nichols	0	0		0		0		0		
United Water-New Rochelle	1	0		0		0		24		
United Water-New York	4	1		87		0		31		
US Comm Inc.	0	0		0		0		142		
VarTec Telecom	6	0		9		0		23		
Vectren Retail, Llc D/b/a Vectren S	0	0		0		0		0		
Verizon Communications (LD)	4	2		15		0		34		
Verizon Communications (PayPhor	3	1		7		10		25		
Village of Churchville	1	0		2		0		0		
Village of Freeport Electric	1	0		6		0		0		
Village of Rockville Centre Inc.	0	1		40		7		0		
Westbrook Water Corp.	0	0		0		0		0		
World-Link Solutions, Inc	0	0		0		0		134		
XChange Telecom	5	0		8		0		20		
XO Communications, Inc.	0	0		15		0		0		

2007
Credit Adjustments Received
For Consumers

As a result of our investigation into consumer' complaints, when staff determines that a consumer was overbilled, the utility is directed to refund to the consumer, any monies it collected above and beyond what was allowed by tariffs, rules and regulations.

The chart below identifies the credits obtained on behalf of consumers.

JAN '07	\$	1,112,874.57
FEB '07	\$	1,895,246.83
MAR '07	\$	623,222.21
APR '07	\$	135,998.73
MAY '07	\$	282,567.72
JUNE '07	\$	1,075,720.61
JULY '07	\$	-
AUG '07	\$	-
SEPT '07	\$	-
OCT '07	\$	-
NOV '07	\$	-
DEC '07	\$	-
2007 Total	\$	5,125,630.67

Number of Escalated Complaints Received Against Energy Service Companies

FULL NAME	2006	2007	Dec-07	Nov-07	Oct-07	Sep-07	Aug-07	Jul-07	Jun-07	May-07	Apr-07	Mar-07	Feb-07	Jan-07
Accent Energy Midwest, LLC	107	19							1	1	4	4	5	4
ACN Energy, Inc.	0	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Agway Energy Services, LLC	9	1							0	0	0	0	1	0
All American Gas & Energy	0	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Brown's Fuel	5	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Columbia Utilities, LLC	27	5							1	0	0	1	0	3
Commerce Energy, Inc.	6	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Con Edison Solutions	5	2							0	0	0	0	1	1
Direct Energy Services, LLC	3	6							1	0	1	3	0	1
Econergy	14	7							0	1	0	2	3	1
Energetix, Inc.	3	7							0	2	0	4	1	0
FFC Energy	1	1							0	1	0	0	0	0
Great Eastern Energy	2	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Hudson Energy Services, LLC.	38	44							2	8	2	13	13	6
IDT Energy, Inc.	59	12							3	0	2	2	2	3
Infinite Energy, Inc.	11	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Interstate Gas Supply of New York, Inc.	0	1							0	0	0	0	0	1
Keyspan Energy Services, Inc.	0	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Liberty Power Corp.	43	17							0	1	4	6	5	1
Metro Energy Group, LLC	2	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
Mirabito Fuel Group Inc.	2	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
MX Energy, Inc.	19	7							0	0	1	2	1	3
Natgasco, Inc.	0	1							0	0	0	0	0	1
National Fuel Resources, Inc.	1	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
NOCO Energy Corp.	0	1							0	0	0	0	0	1
NYSEG Solutions, Inc.	2	1							0	0	1	0	0	0
Spark Energy, L.P.	4	12							2	1	1	6	1	1
Strategic Energy, LLC	5	3							0	0	0	1	1	1
Stuyvesant Energy, LLC	2	0							0	0	0	0	0	0
U.S. Energy Savings Corp.	52	46							2	4	6	14	6	14
U.S. Gas & Electric, Inc.	8	1							0	0	0	0	1	0
Utility Resource Solutions, L.P.	3	1							0	0	0	1	0	0
Vectren Retail, LLC	0	2							0	0	1	1	0	0
Total	433	197	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	19	23	60	41	42

Not all ESCO's listed above are currently operating in New York. ESCO's not listed have no escalated complaints for the period covered by the chart.